USARIEM TECHNICAL NOTE T00-XX

USE OF THE TRAY PACK RATION DURING EXTENDED FIELD OPERATIONS: A SUMMARY

William J. Tharion Carol J. Baker-Fulco Gaston P. Bathalon

Military Nutrition Division

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A
Approved for Public Release
Distribution Unlimited

July 2000

U.S. Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine Natick, MA 01760-5007

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 4

20000817 019

DISCLAIMERS

The views, opinions and/or findings in this report are those of the authors and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision, unless so designated by other official documentation.

Human subjects participated in these studies after giving their free and informed voluntary consent. Investigators adhered to AR 70-25 and USAMRMC Regulation 70-25 on the use of volunteers in research.

Citation of commercial organizations and trade names in this report does not constitute an official Department of the Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations.

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

, 1

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations.and Reports, 1216 Jefferson Davis Highway, Sulte 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)

2. REPORT DATE

3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED

	2202 4002, and to the Office of Managersent and	1 Dauget, Feparatoric Reduction Froject (c	7704-0100/, Washington, DC 20003.
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave bla	2. REPORT DATE July 14, 2000	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATECHNICAL Note June 19	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Use of Tray Pack Ration During Extended Field Operations: A Summary			FUNDING NUMBERS
6. AUTHOR(S) William J. Tharion, Carol J. Ba	Baker-Fulco, and Gaston P. Bathalo	on	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Institute of Environmental Medicine Natick, MA 01760			PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING A U.S. Army Medical Research a Fort Detrick Federick, MD 21702-5012	AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES and Materiel Command		SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITAPPROVED for public release. Distribution is unlimited.	TY STATEMENT	12b.	DISTRIBUTION CODE
excessive weight loss and/or GI effectiveness of the T Ration refand representative measure of the nutrient intakes. These problem menu items, hot storage conditionand assigned to either 2 T with the rank of E-4 or below dof 17 in the T group and 34 in the did not affect sleep, mood or phonorier on by Day 56. Mean energy decline over time; 2702 to 2580 in the B group. Mean nutrient is also did not reach dietary recommeded the dislike after repeated the Marine Corps about feeding and enforcement of enrichment in 14. SUBJECT TERMS	on system was evaluated to see if in I symptoms during a 60-day Maring a flect how it was actually used, not the T Ration system as it often fund must include: lack of vegetable and for ions, poor food presentation, and a T Rations and 1 MRE or 2 B Ration dropped from the study for food-rethe B group completed the study. The hysical performance. Weight loss, ergy expenditure was 3328 kcal/d. The personnel and construction enging the deficit (-950 kcal/d). Total energing to 2423 kcal/d for the T group of intakes of the T group did not meaning the state of the T gro	ne construction mission. That as theoretically planned. Inctions and the problems that fruit enhancements at most an overworked mess staff. In an	The findings regarding the Therefore, this study was a valid at may affect consumption and meals, unavailability of some Volunteers (n=85) were the T group 19 volunteers all were no B group drops. A total symptoms. The type of ration een groups exceeded the 3% tive energy balance. However, uction engineers in the T group and from 3094 to 2822 to 2687 kcal/d folate, magnesium, and zinc, and ially acceptable, but ratings ecommend to the Commandant of odification of the T Ration menu
			16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	ON 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL

INTRODUCTION

There is currently no policy on the use of Tray Pack Rations (T Rations) for extended durations. The T Ration is a heat and serve ration that feeds 12-18 people per tray. The United States Marine Corps (USMC) requested (1) that information be obtained to allow the Office of the Army Surgeon General to establish a T Ration feeding policy for extended durations. Specifically, the information requested would address the nutritional adequacy and impact on associated health and performance indices of T Ration consumption for extended periods of time while Marines performed their assigned mission (e.g., we examined a 60-day construction mission completed by combat engineers). Currently, the USMC uses B Rations (cook-prepared) as the standard field ration. While the T Ration provides most nutrients at levels of the Nutritional Standards for Operational Rations (2), it was necessary to determine if Marines (or soldiers) would consume sufficient amounts of the rations to meet their nutritional needs. Details of our research are reported separately (3). This document summarizes the conclusions and recommendations regarding extended feeding of T Rations based on the findings of that study.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

RATION/NUTRITION ISSUES

, ;

- 1. Overall, energy intake in Marines consuming T Rations was lower than those given B Rations.
 - T Ration Group: 2572 kcal/day (49% CHO, 15% PRO, 36% Fat, <1% ALC)¹.
 - B Ration Group: 2886 kcal/day (50% CHO, 14% PRO, 35% FAT, 1% ALC).
- T Rations were not well accepted by the Marines when fed continuously for 60 days.

¹CHO = carbohydrate, PRO = protein, FAT = fat, ALC = alcohol

- 44% of volunteers in the T Ration group, all with ranks of E-4 and below, discontinued eating T-Rations prior to the end of the 60-day study.
- No volunteer from the B Ration control group withdrew from the study because of the food
- 3. The relatively consistent quality of the T Ration could be an advantage during prolonged field feeding.

٠,

- The subjective quality of T Ration foods was lower than that of B Ration foods, but the subjective quality of T Ration foods remained constant over time.
- The subjective quality of B Ration foods decreased over time as ingredients ran out and the cooks became fatigued.
- Energy intakes from galley food mirrored the perceived changes in quality with intakes remaining relatively stable throughout the 60-day deployment for the T Ration group but declining over time in the B Ration group.
- Despite equal access, the T Ration group tended to consume lesser quantities of Meals, Ready-to-Eat and non-ration foods than the B Ration group.
- When it was expected the food would be disliked (e.g., the T Ration),
 there was less inclination to utilize other available foods.
- When the expectation of liking was not met over time (e.g., the B Ration), alternate foods were consumed to maintain the expected level of meal satisfaction.
- 4. Both diets failed to achieve certain Military Recommended Dietary Allowances (MRDAs).
 - The T Ration group failed to consume sufficient energy, folate, magnesium, and zinc as compared to MRDAs and did not meet dietary recommendations for carbohydrate and fiber.
 - The B Ration group failed to consume zinc at MRDA levels and did not meet dietary recommendations for carbohydrate and fiber.
- 5. Ration enhancements and supplements were not effectively utilized nor as recommended (4).

- Some enhancement items (e.g., cold cereals and salad dressing) were not procured prior to the deployment or from a local source after deploying because of perceived high cost.
- Some supplemental items (e.g., salads, fresh fruits and vegetables) were procured initially, but ran out and were not replaced because procurement from local sources was perceived to be too costly and time-consuming.
- The shelf-stable bread was more than one year out-of-date, resulting in degraded taste and texture.
- 6. Energy densities (kcal/g of food and beverage consumed) were lower than optimal and decreased over time.
 - Intake of artificially sweetened beverages (little or no calories) increased as environmental temperatures increased.
 - No energy compensation occurred, and energy intakes declined in parallel with energy density.
- 7. Differences in acceptability ratings of like/dislike of foods existed between ration groups.
 - All T Ration breakfast entrees were disliked, but no B Ration breakfast entrees were disliked.
 - T Ration dinner items were initially liked by a majority of Marines, but after
 30 days a majority of the items served were disliked.
 - No B Ration dinner items were rated as disliked.
- 8. There was a lack of choice in T Ration breakfasts with some form of eggs and/or hash served every day, leading to menu monotony.
- 9. Over the deployment, the kitchen staff became fatigued and dispirited, which could negatively affect the cooks' ability to provide consistent, high quality meals throughout a long deployment.
 - Kitchen staff had extended work days and did not have an entire day off (unlike most members of the unit).
 - Cooks seemed to receive little appreciation for their efforts.

10. When volunteers were asked what feeding policy the Commandant of the Marines should adopt for B and T Rations, 31% mentioned that B Rations should be maintained and that a switch to T Rations would hurt troop morale.

HEALTH AND PERFORMANCE ISSUES

- 1. The construction mission was completed on time and without incident.
- 2. There were no differences in physical symptoms reported in the two feeding groups.
- 3. In both groups, energy expenditure exceeded energy intake, leading to weight loss.
 - Energy requirements were between 3000-4000 kcal/day for this mission.
 - Weight loss exceeded 3% in both feeding groups by Day 56 of the study.
 - Weight loss, while not overly excessive, began to accelerate in the second month for both ration groups.
 - Some individuals began to rely increasingly on outside food sources as time proceeded.
 - Mean total daily energy expenditure (TDEE) was higher for the construction engineers (3460 kcal/day) than administrative and support personnel (3109 kcal/day).
 - Negative energy balances (i.e., consuming fewer calories than expended)
 were greater for construction engineers than administrative and support
 personnel eating T Rations, and these differences became greater over
 time.
 - During the last week of the construction mission, construction engineers consuming the T Ration exhibited an energy balance of -1650 kcal/day vs. a -500 kcal/day energy balance for those consuming the B Ration.
- Muscle strength (as indicated by repeated bench press lifting, arm curl lifting, and vertical jump performances) was not affected by consumption of T or B Rations.

5. Volunteers slept an average of 6.5 hrs per night, with no differences between ration groups.

. ;

- 6. Hydration status was not affected by the type of ration consumed. During particularly hot days and/or hard physical work, fluid intake was less than optimal, as assessed by urine specific gravities.
- 7. Measured mood status was not significantly different between ration groups.

RECOMMENDATIONS

- Incorporate or change to A Rations (fresh and perishable foods) as soon as logistically possible during a long deployment to meet energy needs, prevent weight loss, and improve the acceptability of meals.
- Consider the likelihood of the unavailability of fruit and vegetable supplements, the unavailability of some menus or menu items, and less than optimal storage conditions at the deployment site when recommending the length of time for continuous T Ration feeding.
- Rotate T Ration menus to minimize ration monotony.
- Provide alternatives to T Ration eggs and hash in the morning such as waffles, biscuits, pancakes (e.g., from the Unitized Group Heat & Serve Ration or developmental items), and additional instant oatmeal in the breakfast menus to improve energy intake and acceptability of breakfasts in general.
- Provide individual boxes of cold cereal to enhance the breakfast menu.
- Present and serve foods in appealing and familiar ways to increase the overall acceptability of the T Ration.
- Provide condiments such as ketchup, mustard, soy sauce, etc.

- Provide sugar-sweetened beverages to increase energy intake.
- Provide ration supplements (e.g., salads, fresh fruits and vegetables) when possible.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Our research showed that serving T Rations for 60 days did not negatively impact the health or performance of Marines deployed on a construction mission in a tropical environment. However, acceptability of the T Ration was an issue. T Rations were rated lower and ratings decreased over time. Breakfasts in particular were rated as unacceptable by the majority of Marines. It should be noted that the evaluation of the T Ration reflected how the ration was actually used and not as theoretically planned. Consideration needs to be given on ways to improve the T Ration system to minimize the problem of poor acceptability which can lead to insufficient consumption, low nutrient intakes, and may negatively impact troop morale and mission readiness. Ration developers may want certain low-rated items to be improved or replaced by more desirable items. However, the primary emphasis on improvements may be on ensuring that the T Ration system operates as envisioned. Provision of vegetable and fruit supplements, enforcement of enhancement policies, shipment of a balanced set of all breakfast and dinner menus, proper rotation of menus, elimination of forced issue of out-of-date items, and a qualified mess staff that is sufficiently staffed to ensure proper storage, preparation and service of rations are all factors which need consideration if the T Ration is to be used on extended deployments.

REFERENCES

- 1. Departments of the Army, the Navy, and the Air Force, Headquarters. *Nutrition Allowances, Standards, and Education*. Washington, D.C. AR 40-25 (Naval Command Medical Instruction 10110.1, Air Force Regulation 160-95), 1985.
- 2. Commandant of the Marine Corps. T Rations (Tray Packs). Tasking Letter from the U.S. Marine Corps. November 16, 1997.

- 3. Tharion, W. J., C. J. Baker-Fulco, S. McGraw, W. K. Johnson, P. Niro, J. P. Warber, F. M. Kramer, R. Allen, C. M. Champagne, C. Falco, R. W. Hoyt, J. P. DeLany, and L. L. Lesher. *The Effects of 60 Days of Tray Ration Consumption in Marine Combat Engineers While Deployed on Great Inagua Island, Bahamas.* Natick, MA: USARIEM. Technical Report T00-16, January 2000.
- 4. USNARDEC. Operational Rations of the Department of Defense. Natick, MA: USANRDEC. PAM 30-2, 3rd Edition, 1999.